

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	:	Case No. 3: 24-CR-22(2)
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	District Judge Michael J. Newman
	:	Magistrate Judge Peter B. Silvain, Jr.
vs.	:	
	:	
CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL DOERR,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	
	:	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION¹

This case came before the Court on the parties' Joint Motion to Change Plea (Doc. #27) and the subsequent plea hearing, which was held on October 30, 2024. Assistant United States Attorney Kelly K. Rossi appeared and represented the Government. Defendant was present at the hearing and was represented by Attorney Thomas W. Anderson.

Prior to the hearing, the parties entered into a proposed plea agreement pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(B), which agreement has been filed of record. (Doc. #38). Under the terms of the plea agreement, Defendant agreed to plead guilty to Count 1 as charged in the Indictment currently pending against him, which charges him with conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute and to distribute 50 grams or more of a mixture and substance containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine, a Schedule II controlled substance; 10 grams or more of a mixture and substance containing a detectable amount of any analogue of fentanyl, a Schedule I controlled substance; and 500 grams or more of a mixture and substance containing a detectable amount of

¹ Attached is a NOTICE to the parties regarding objections to this Report and Recommendation.

cocaine, a Schedule II substance, in violation of Title 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(B), and 846.

During the plea hearing, the undersigned had the opportunity to address Defendant in open court and to inform Defendant of all rights and privileges as set forth in Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(1). Further, the undersigned carefully inquired of Defendant regarding his understanding of the agreement, as well as his competence to understand the agreement. Having fully inquired, the undersigned Judicial Officer finds that Defendant's tendered plea of guilty to Count 1 as charged in the Indictment was knowing, intelligent, and voluntary. Additionally, based upon the statement of facts, which were read into the record and affirmed by Defendant, the undersigned finds that there is a sufficient factual basis for finding that Defendant is in fact guilty of conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute and to distribute 50 grams or more of a mixture and substance containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine, a Schedule II controlled substance; 10 grams or more of a mixture and substance containing a detectable amount of any analogue of fentanyl, a Schedule I controlled substance; and 500 grams or more of a mixture and substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a Schedule II substance, in violation of Title 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(B), and 846.

Based upon the foregoing, it is **RECOMMENDED** that the District Court **GRANT** the parties' Joint Motion to Change Plea (Doc. #27) and **ACCEPT** Defendant's plea of guilty to Count 1 as charged in the Indictment currently pending against him and find him guilty as charged of conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute and to distribute 50 grams or more of a mixture and substance containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine, a Schedule II controlled substance; 10 grams or more of a mixture and substance containing a detectable amount of any analogue of fentanyl, a Schedule I controlled substance; and 500 grams or more of a mixture and

substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a Schedule II substance, in violation of Title 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(B), and 846.

Pending the Court's acceptance of Defendant's guilty plea, Defendant has been referred to the Probation Department for a pre-sentence investigation and report.

October 31, 2024

s/Peter B. Silvain, Jr.

Peter B. Silvain, Jr.
United States Magistrate Judge

NOTICE REGARDING OBJECTIONS

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 59(b)(2), any party may serve and file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations within **FOURTEEN** days after being served with this Report and Recommendation. Such objections shall specify the portions of the Report objected to and shall be accompanied by a memorandum of law in support of the objections. If the Report and Recommendation is based in whole or in part upon matters occurring of record at an oral hearing, the objecting party shall promptly arrange for the transcription of the record, or such portions of it as all parties may agree upon or the Magistrate Judge deems sufficient, unless the assigned District Judge otherwise directs. A party may respond to another party's objections within **FOURTEEN** days after being served with a copy thereof.

Failure to make objections in accordance with this procedure may forfeit rights on appeal. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).